

# Statement of Environmental Effects



Project: Internal Alterations and Two Storey Addition to Northern Side of Existing Ski Lodge

> 93 Charlotte Way, Charlotte Pass NSW 2624 Lot 103 DP1242013

DATE: OCTOBER 2023 PREPARED FOR: ARLBERG SKI CLUB LTD C/- IAN SWAN PREPARED BY: ACCENT TOWN PLANNING REVISION: 01

ACCENTTOWNPLANNING.COM.AU | INFO@COMPLETEPLANNING.COM.AU PO BOX 642 JINDABYNE NSW 2627 | PH 02 6456 7176

#### 1.0 Introduction

The project for which this Statement applies is for alterations and additions to the existing Arlberg Ski Lodge. The works include internal alterations and a 10m x 5m three storey addition to the northern side of the lodge.

Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is a privately owned and operated lodge with a licence for 30 beds.

The site is legally described as Lot 103 DP1242013. Located at 93 Charlotte Way, Charlotte Pass within Kosciuszko National Park, NSW.

The proposal aims to seek approval for the following list of alterations and additions to Arlberg Lodge:

Entry Level		
Addition -	Verandah	
New Extension including	Entry	
groundworks	Addition of 3 Rooms - Boot room/Ski store/ Wood Store/Waste Materials Room.	
	Stairway to upper level	
Ground Level		
Addition	Managers Flat	
Alteration	Door from managers flat to ensuite	
	Close off existing doorway between ensuite and	
	storeroom with brickwork	
	New Store Room	
	New Fire rated room for new Main Switch Board	
First Floor		
Addition	Extend existing terrace	
	New Glazed Handrail	
Alteration	New Store room Relocate existing non structural walls. Create new door opening in existing brick structural wall	
	New bedroom (13) with ensuite	
	Extend dining room	
	Create new store/office	
Second Floor		
No Change		
Third Floor		
No Change		

The proposed development is deemed to be of positive influence by visually enhancing the existing lodge whilst providing improved accommodation and facilities within the lodge. These improvements will allow the lodge to provide an enhanced guest experience and ensure the longevity of Alberg Lodge.

Allowing for the updating of the lodges within Charlotte Pass further encourages a safe recreation environment for visitors, enhancing their experience of Kosciuszko National Park.

This Statement of Environmental Effects will accompany a Development Application to be lodged with the Department of Planning & Environment (DPE) under Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act).

The proposal has been prepared in accordance with chapter 4 Kosciuszko Alpine Region of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts- Regional) 2021,

The proposal is consistent with the South East and Tableland Regional Plan and Snowy Mountains Special Activation Precinct Master Plan as it as it would maintain the existing use of the site for tourist accommodation improving the condition of the building for its staff and visitors without impacting the environmental, cultural and ecological attributes of the Alpine Precinct.

The proposed works (tourist accommodation) are permitted with consent under the Charlotte Pass Alpine Report Land Use Table within the State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts- Regional) 2021.

### Charlotte Pass Alpine Resort

1 Permitted without consent

Nil

### 2 Permitted with consent

Advertising structures; Building identification signs; Business identification signs; Car parks; Commercial premises; Community facilities; Depots; Ecotourist facilities; Emergency services facilities; Entertainment facilities; Environmental facilities; Environmental protection works; Fences; Function centres; Helipads; Information and education facilities; Infrastructure facilities; Lifting facilities; Management trails; Medical centres; Monitoring stations; Places of public worship; Public utility undertakings; Recreation facilities (indoor); Recreation facilities (outdoor); Recreation infrastructure; Ski slope huts; Ski slopes; Snow-making infrastructure; Staff accommodation; Telecommunications facilities; Tourist and visitor accommodation; Transport depots; Vehicle repair stations

#### 3 Prohibited

Bed and breakfast accommodation; Farm stay accommodation; Any other development not specified in item 1 or 2

This Statement of Environmental Effects relies upon and is limited to the information provided in following plans and reports as provided by Arlberg Ski Lodge:-

Author	Name	Reference/Rev	Date
<b>Architectural Plans</b>			
Arlberg Ski Lodge	Floor Plan Entry Level	Sk1a	14/3/2022
		Preliminary	
		DA	
	Floor Plan Ground Level	Sk2a Preliminary DA	14/3/2022

10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176 info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au

	Floor Plan First Floor	Sk3a Preliminary DA	14/3/2022
	Floor Plan Second Floor	Sk4a Preliminary DA	14/3/2022
	Floor Plan Third Floor	Sk5a Preliminary DA	14/3/2022
	Elevation	Sk6a Preliminary DA	14/3/2022
	3D Perspective	Sk07 Preliminary DA	14/3/2022
Engineering Concep	t Plans -		
XLĂM	4003 Bluebeam Cover Sheet	V01	21/6/2022
	Floor Plan Entry Level		21/6/2022
	Floor Plan Ground Level		21/6/2022
	Floor Plan First Floor		21/6/2022
	Floor Plan Second Floor		21/6/2022
	Floor Plan Third Floor		21/6/2022
	Elevation		21/6/2022
	3D Perspective		21/6/2022
Reports			
HBC Building Certification	BCA Report	Arlberg 1022	29/9/2022
Douglas Partners Pty Ltd	Preliminary Geotechnical Report	Project 215619.00 R.001.Rev0	15/9/2022
Construction Consultants	Quantity Survey Report	Q22C119	4/10/2022
Gradwell Consulting	NCC Section J Compliance Report	Final	7/7/2023
Accent Town Planning	Bushfire Report	23141 Rev 01	October 2023

#### 2.0 Site Location & Context

#### 2.1 Site Analysis

Charlotte Pass is Australia's oldest and highest ski resort at 1,765 meters above sea level 12. Charlotte Pass is a popular tourist destination for both Australians and international travellers offering an array of attractions including easy access to Australia's highest mountain, Mt Kosciuszko.

The local economy is driven by the winter season with skiing and other winter sports being unique to this region of Australia. A growing summer tourism trade has been developing with activities such as hiking, fishing, kayaking, and mountain biking encouraging outdoor enthusiasts to visit the region.

Arlberg Lodge is located at Lot 103, 93 Charlotte Way, Charlotte Pass within the Kosciuszko National Park. The site has an area of approximately 621.4 sqm with an existing privately owned and operated lodge constructed in 1979, and currently used for the purpose of tourist accommodation.

Nearby buildings include other tourist accommodation lodges such as Stillwell Lodge (north), Snowbird Ski Lodge (east), Tar-Gan Gil (north west) and Burrawong Lodge (west).



FIGURE 1

LOCATION OF SUBJECT SITE



#### FIGURE 2 LOT/LEASE BOUNDARIES

The proposed additions will increase the north elevation of the building footprint by 50sqm.

The new two storey extension will incorporate a newly configured entry with verandah, boot room, ski store, wood store and a waste and materials room on the entry level.

The ground and first floor works will create a new manager's living quarter with ensuite and a stairway from the entry level. The alteration works on the ground floor will reconfigure the layout involving new and filled in door sites, creation of new storerooms, ensuite bedroom and office with a new fire rated room for the new switch board, extension to terrace, glazed handrail and an extended dining room.

The existing building demonstrates a siting pattern and orientation, maximizing natural light to the building.

An AHIMS search found zero sites or places recorded or declared at the subject allotment. Likewise, there have been no known Aboriginal artefacts found during previous construction of the lodge. External works proposed will result in the minimal ground surface disturbance and hence the likelihood for Aboriginal artefacts to be found is very unlikely.

As a result, the proposed alterations and additions to Arlberg Lodge acknowledges the environmental and cultural significance of the area. The proposed design demonstrates an improvement and modernisation to the amenities of the existing lodge which will improve the long-term resilience of the lodge whilst maintaining the environmental values of the Kosciuszko National Park.



FIGURE 3 AERIAL VIEW OF SITE –



FIGURE 4 CLOSE UP VIEW OF SUBJECT SITE



### 2.2 Site Contours

Landfall is generally consistent across the site and surrounding immediate area sloping up from north (front) to the south (rear).



### FIGURE 6 SITE CONTOURS

The existing lodge has been designed to step back into the slope and the two storey addition on the northern elevation will not impact the overall height of the building. Excavation and ground works for the proposed extension will occur in previously disturbed areas and are not expected to have any negative environmental impact on the site or surrounding areas.

Preliminary structural engineering assessment has been carried out by XLam on the subject site dated 21/6/2022 and submitted as part of this application.

# 2.3 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

To supplement the application, a Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment by Douglas Partners (Project 215619.00) dated 15 September 2022 to assess the site suitability for the upgrade works and notes:-

'From the site photographs, the existing building in the vicinity of the proposed extension was in good condition, and no signs of global slope instability were observed within or adjacent to the development area. A limited number of small trees were observed to be adjacent to the extension area, one with a downward lean, possibly indicating near-surface creep and/or wind-blown lean.

Based on the information provided, it is highly likely that the proposed development will have minimal geotechnical impact on site conditions from a stability perspective. A site inspection and geotechnical investigation must be completed prior to finalisation of designs to provide further definitive comment. Based on our experience in alpine resort areas, the below recommendations must be incorporated into the design:

- All loads from the new structure including the lower ground floor slab are to be transferred through the overburden soils (possibly prone to soil creep) to within the weathered rock. A minimum socket of 0.5 m into weathered rock (minimum extremely low strength) is recommended with an allowable end bearing pressure of 300 kPa.
- All new footings must found below the zone of influence of any adjacent/existing footings or backfill trenches from buried services or underground storage tanks.
- Where required, fill must be compacted to at least 95% standard dry density ratio within 2 percentage points of optimum moisture content.
- The edges of any site excavation and/or fill required to establish the lower floor area must be supported by engineer designed retaining walls.
- All footing excavations must be viewed by a Geotechnical Engineer to confirm suitability of the founding stratum.
- Site surface drainage or and existing subsurface drainage systems must not be compromised by the proposed works.
- All stormwater and/or sewage that is generated by the new development must be disposed offsite in a controlled manner such that it does not impact the performance of the structure and/or surrounding ground.'

# 2.4 BUSHFIRE

Lot 103 DP1242013 is shown as bushfire affected being Vegetation Category 1.

The BAL level for the proposed works has been calculated as BAL 19.

A bushfire report has been prepared to be submitted with this application.

 $info@completeplanning.com.au \mid www.accenttownplanning.com.au$ 



FIGURE 7 BUSHFIRE MAP

### 2.5 **BIODIVERSITY**

Lot 103 DP1242013 is identified on the Terrestrial Biodiversity Map, however, the site does not contain Biodiversity Values Map (BVM) areas as demonstrated in Figure 8 below.

The proposed works are contained wholly within the lot boundaries and will avoid the areas with high biodiversity values.



FIGURE 8

BIODIVERSITY VALUES MAP



**FIGURE 9** Map C.1 Vegetation communities at Charlotte Pass - Charlotte Pass Village Environmental Values Report

# 2.5 ABORIGINAL HERITAGE

An AHIMS search found zero sites or places recorded or declared at the subject allotment See *Appendix I*. Likewise, there have been no known Aboriginal artefacts found during previous construction of the lodge. External works proposed will result in the minimal ground surface disturbance (see geotechnical assessment report attached) and hence the likelihood for Aboriginal artefacts to be found is very unlikely.

0 Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0 Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

FIGURE 4 AHIMS SEARCH RESULT LOT 103 DP1242013

# ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE DUE DILIGENCE

# APPLICABLE PATHWAY

Section 1, "Do you need to use this due diligence code", of the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW 2010)* {referred to as the 'Due Diligence Code' going forward} has determined that the Generic Due Diligence Code of Practice is applicable to follow.

# GENERIC DUE DILIGENCE CODE

Question 1: Will the activity disturb the ground surface or any culturally modified trees?

# Response: Yes, the proposal will involve minimal ground disturbance – proceed to question 2.

Question 2: Are there any:

a) Relevant confirmed site records or other associated landscape feature information on AHIMS?

10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176

info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au

Response: No - see AHIMS report above.

b) Any other sources of information of which a person is already aware?

# Response: No other known sources of information or previous reports for the development area.

c) Any landscape features that are likely to indicate presence of Aboriginal objects?

# Response: No - The proposed development site does not contain any landscape features that are likely to indicate the presence of Aboriginal Objects.



### **CONCLUSION:**

Therefore, as per the Due Diligence Code "AHIP application not necessary. Proceed with caution. If any Aboriginal objects are found, stop work and notify National Parks and Wildlife

Services (NPWS). If human remains are found, stop work, secure the site and notify the NSW Police and NPWS".

As a result, the proposed repairs and improvements to the existing lodge acknowledges the environmental and cultural significance of the area and has proposed a thoughtful and considered design to improve the amenities of the existing lodge. Through provision of more modern amenities, it improves the long-term resilience of the lodge and capacity for providing accommodation to guests while maintaining the environmental values of the Kosciuszko National Park.

# 2.6 COLOUR SCHEDULE

The colour scheme is depicted on the external elevations drawings within the DA Issue architectural plans and will be consistent with the existing architectural features.

The introduction of the ironstone custom orb will minimise the appearance of the extension and adds a cost effective modern feature to ensure the longevity of the façade.



The proposed custom orb and stone cladding will provide a more sustainable external cladding, which will secure the long-term resilience of the lodge for operations. The new cladding will provide all weather protection and improve the thermal regulation of the lodge. Lodges such as Jerrabomberra and Lucy Lodge within Charlotte Pass have used custom orb cladding to improve the amenity and exterior of the lodge. Steel cladding also provides greater bushfire protection to occupants. The use of stone and steel cladding creates an aesthetically pleasing façade that complements the built environment and upgrades of lodges throughout the Kosciuszko National Park.



Jerrabomberra, Charlotte PassLucy Lodge, Charlotte PassFIGURE 6EXAMPLES OF CUSTOM ORB CLADDING IN CHARLOTTE PASSThe proposed stone facing and glazed handrail will match with existing external façade.



The changes are compatible with other developments in CPV being sympathetic to the surrounding lodges and will not detract from the surrounding natural and built environment.



#### FIGURE 10 West Elevation

#### 2.7 BUILT FORM AND CHARACTER OF ADJOINING DEVELOPMENT

Arlberg Lodge is nested in the heart of Charlotte Pass Village between Stillwell Lodge to the north, Snowbird Ski Lodge to the east, Tar-Gan Gil to the north west and Burrawong & Lucy Lodges to the west. The surrounding buildings are of various architectural vernacular styles, built 1970's to mid 1980's, but are similar in scale and design including stone faced foundations and timber or block construction above.

The DECC HHIMS s.170 Heritage Register list Stillwell Lodge, however the proposed works will have no impact to on this property.

The proposed alterations and additional to Arlberg Lodge will not impact on the Charlotte Pass Village landscape or the historical and cultural values of the village. The proposal is keeping with the original building form and reflects the character of the area and the alpine lodge style.

#### 2.8 Site Photos





PHOTO 3 – NORTHERN VIEW TO ARLBERG SKI LODGE







PHOTO 6 – NORTHWEST VIEW TO ARLBERG SKI LODGE





ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE 10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176 info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au

#### 3.0 Project

The project for which this Statement applies is for alterations and additions to the existing Arlberg Ski Club Lodge located at 93 Charlotte Way, Charlotte Pass within Kosciuszko National Park, NSW. The site is legally described as Lot 103 DP1242013.

The two storey extension (10m x 5m) to the northern elevation of the lodge includes a new entry to the lodge and the addition of a managers quarters. The internal reconfiguration works will create additional and improved amenities for guests and staff includes additional ski/boot/wood/rubbish storage rooms, a new fire rated switchboard room, an extended terrace, an extended dining room, an additional ensuite bedroom, an additional office, an additional storeroom and associated works.

Entry Level		
Addition -	Verandah	
New Extension including	Entry	
groundworks	Addition of 3 Rooms - Boot room/Ski store/ Wood Store/Waste Materials Room.	
	Stairway to upper level	
Ground Level		
Addition	Managers Flat	
Alteration	Door from managers flat to ensuite	
	Close off existing doorway between ensuite and storeroom with brickwork	
	New Store Room	
	New Fire rated room for new Main Switch Board	
First Floor		
Addition	Extend existing terrace	
	New Glazed Handrail	
Alteration	New Store room Relocate existing non structural walls. Create new door opening in existing brick structural wall	
	New bedroom (13) with ensuite	
	Extend dining room	
	Create new store/office	
Second Floor		
No Change		
Third Floor		
No Change		

The proposal includes the following works:

10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176 info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au



#### FIGURE 11 3D CONCEPT

The proposed new extension to the lodge offers an articulated façade with a mix of materials, natural stone and tones and custom orb Colorbond cladding with the proposed new works complementing the existing materials and landscape of the snow resorts. This allows Arlberg Ski Lodge to not only blend into the area but also complement the existing landscapes and development found within the Kosciuszko National Park.

Groundworks for the proposed extension are to be carried out in previously disturbed areas with maximum excavation of less than 1m. Douglas Partners have carried out desktop preliminary assessment of the site and have concluded that the proposed works will have minimal geotechnical impact on the stability of the site. A site assessment with report and Form 4 will be completed and conditioned as part of the DA approval.

External stone cladded walls are proposed for new ground floor extension in keeping with the existing lodge foundations. A new verandah will offer shelter from snowfall during winter and shade during the summer on entering and exiting the building. Three new windows to the front of the extension offer thermal regulation and light to the new boot and ski room along with the entryway. Windows will blend with the existing character of the lodge and will be to BAL19 rating as recommended in the Bushfire report submitted as part of this application.

The addition of the boot and ski room will enhance visitor facilities providing an area outside of communal and accommodation areas for these items to be securely stored, thus keeping bedrooms and communal spaces free of obstructions allowing easier movement throughout. The addition of a new staircase from the entry level to the ground floor managers flat and storerooms allows for staff to have internal access to the new rubbish and wood store rooms without having to go through the lodge which are also being proposed for the new entry level extension.



FIGURE 12 ENTRY LEVEL ADDITION FLOOR PLAN

The ground floor extension will provide a new managers flat complete with a combined living, dinning and kitchen area. An open plan design is proposed for the managers flat with direct access from the bedroom to the living area. To create an ensuite bathroom for the managers flat the intention is to use brickwork to fill the existing door access from a bathroom on the ground floor and create a new door access from the adjoining wall on the other side to the new bedroom in the managers flat. Three north facing windows will allow for light and thermal comfort throughout the managers flat. Demonstrated in figure 13 below.

An existing storeroom in the ground level will be split into a corridor from the stairway of the new extension, a storeroom and a new room for the new main switch board. All newly constructed walls will be non structural fire rated masonry walls. Also demonstrated in figure 13 below.



The final alterations and additions to the first floor of the lodge consist of the creation of a new ensuite bedroom next to a new storeroom. The storeroom will be created by the construction of stud walls in the existing subfloor. Two new door openings to allow access to these rooms will be cut through existing walls. Existing non structural walls on the eastern side of the lodge will be removed and replaced with two new stud walls. The reconfigured walls will create an extended dining area, a new office and the new bedroom as shown on the architectural plans.

The extended terrace will allow increased outdoor recreation area for visitors to enjoy the vistas of the surrounding range. Hot dipped galvanised steel columns from the edge of the extended terrace will ensure the extension is structurally sound. A new glazed handrail will be installed to meet the requirements of the NCC/BCA. Site inspections and geotechnical assessments have been recommended by Douglas Partners Pty Ltd prior to design finalisation. These changes are highlighted in the figure below:



FIGURE 14 FIRST FLOOR ALTS & ADDS FLOOR PLAN

Overall, the proposed development is deemed to be of positive influence on Charlotte Pass Snow Resort by offering upgraded modern amenities for guests. The improvements will provide greater bushfire protection for guests with the upgrade to the windows and external cladding while providing a modern appearance to complement the built and natural environment. Likewise, the improvements will enhance the safety of occupants to provide a safe recreation environment to visitors enhancing their experience of Kosciuszko National Park.





FIGURE 15 ENTRY FLOOR PLAN



FIGURE 16 GROUND FLOOR PLAN

#### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOR ARLBERG SKI CLUB LODGE 93 CHARLOTTE WAY CHARLOTTE PASS NSW



FIGURE 17 FIRST FLOOR PLAN



FIGURE 18 SECOND FLOOR PLAN

#### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOR ARLBERG SKI CLUB LODGE 93 CHARLOTTE WAY CHARLOTTE PASS NSW









FIGURE 20 ELEVATIONS





4.0 GENERAL INFORMATION		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION		
The project for which this Statement applies is for the alteration and additions to Arlberg Ski Club Lodge, Charlotte Pass.		
SITE SUITABILITY		
The site is suitable for the proposed developr	nent.	
	The subject site is identified as bushfire prone land, and hence a Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report has been prepared and will be submitted as part of this application.	
• site constraints such as flooding, slope, geotechnical hazards, bushfire, and any	The subject site is not identified as flood prone land.	
other risks.	The proposed works will result in minimal ground disturbance in previously disturbed areas under the existing first floor terrace. A preliminary geotechnical assessment has been prepared for this application.	
<ul> <li>effects on the local environment, landscape, streetscape, appearance, or scenic quality of the locality.</li> </ul>	There are no proposed adverse effects of the local environment, landscape, streetscape, appearance, or scenic quality of the locality as the proposed development is consistent with surrounding development and existing buildings in CPV.	
<ul> <li>biological and ecological impacts including the impacts on fauna and flora.</li> </ul>	The subject lot does not contain areas of High Biodiversity Values. Excavation for the extension will occur wholly within the lot in previously disturbed areas and will not negatively impact upon the BVM areas. Caution will be taken in handling and disposing of any waste materials associated with the proposed works, to limit any potential damage or contamination of these areas.	
<ul> <li>impacts on existing and future amenity of the locality.</li> </ul>	The proposed works are deemed to be of positive influence on both the existing lodge as well as CPV, by offering a higher level of accommodation for the lodge manager and improve the facilities within the lodge which will enhance the tourism service industry in the area.	
<ul> <li>the age and condition of any structures or buildings.</li> </ul>	Arlberg Ski Club Lodge was constructed in 1979 with stone faced foundation with predominantly brick construction above. The lodge has remained predominantly original since construction.	
PRESENT AND PREVIOUS USES		

Since construction, Arlberg Ski Club Lodge has been utilised as a commercial ski lodge, used for the purpose of tourist accommodation. There are no proposed changes to the current use of the lodge as part of this application.

#### **OPERATIONAL DETAILS**

The lodge currently operates as a club lodge (Arlberg Ski Club) that is open to the public and provides fully catered tourist accommodation. There are no proposed changes to the current operating details of the lodge.

CHANGE OF USE OF A BUILDING (WHERE THERE IS NO BUILDING WORK)

Not applicable.

BUILDING CLASSIFICATION AND BUILDING CODE OF AUSTRALIA (BCA)

Class of Occupancy Class 3 &10a Type of Construction Required Type A

Rise Storeys: 4 Storeys

Number of Storeys: 5 Storeys

Effective Height: 9.8m

Level 4 (RL 10.791) – Ground Level GL (RL00. -809)

As noted in BCA Report for DA submission Ref Arlberg 1022 by Millar Consultancy date 29 September 2022.

SNOW DEPOSITION

The roof design of the lodge is appropriately sloped, and directs accumulated snow to fall away from entrances, establishing safe entry and exit into and out of the lodge.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SUMMARY

To supplement the application, a Geotechnical Investigation Report has been prepared by *Douglas Partners Pty Ltd* to assess the site suitability for the extension at Arlberg Ski Club Lodge.

As per the geotechnical assessment report provided: (Updated from Geo report)

As requested, a review of architectural plans and site photographs provided by the client has been undertaken for the above site for the purposes of assessing the geotechnical implications (if any) of the proposed site development. It is understood that a two level, 10 m by 5 m extension to the front (downslope) side of the existing building is proposed. It is further understood that an existing balcony off the 3rd level (First Floor) will be retained, and the extension constructed under.

Site works as detailed in Drawings SK1a – SK7a dated 1 January 2021 (see attached drawings) indicate that a maximum depth of cut of 0.7 m and a maximum depth of fill of 0.9 m will be required to facilitate construction levels.

From the site photographs, the existing building in the vicinity of the proposed extension was in good condition, and no signs of global slope instability were observed within or adjacent to the development area. A limited number of small trees were observed to be adjacent to the extension area, one with a downward lean, possibly indicating near-surface creep and/or wind-blown lean.

Based on the information provided, it is highly likely that the proposed development will have minimal geotechnical impact on site conditions from a stability perspective. A site inspection and geotechnical investigation must be completed prior to finalisation of designs to provide further definitive comment. Based on our experience in alpine resort areas, the below recommendations must be incorporated into the design

- → All loads from the new structure including the lower ground floor slab are to be transferred through the overburden soils (possibly prone to soil creep) to within the weathered rock. A minimum socket of 0.5 m into weathered rock (minimum extremely low strength) is recommended with an allowable end bearing pressure of 300 kPa.
- → All new footings must found below the zone of influence of any adjacent/existing footings or backfill trenches from buried services or underground storage tanks.
- → Where required, fill must be compacted to at least 95% standard dry density ratio within 2 percentage points of optimum moisture content.
- → The edges of any site excavation and/or fill required to establish the lower floor area must be supported by engineer designed retaining walls.
- → All footing excavations must be viewed by a Geotechnical Engineer to confirm suitability of the founding stratum.
- → Site surface drainage or and existing subsurface drainage systems must not be compromised by the proposed works.
- → All stormwater and/or sewage that is generated by the new development must be disposed offsite in a controlled manner such that it does not impact the performance of the structure and/or surrounding ground.

#### STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DETAILS

Structural engineering information from XLam are submitted as part of this application.

#### SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

The proposed alterations and additions to Arlberg Ski Club Lodge are expected to have positive social and economic impacts. Arlberg Ski Club Lodge plays an important role in providing viable tourist accommodation for visitors to the Charlotte Pass Village.

The alterations, additions and upgrades to the building will improve the longevity of the building, enhance visitor experience, and will help the ongoing operation of the lodge; hence the works will contribute to the continued economic function of Charlotte Pass Village.

Additionally, the improvements to the lodge will secure the long-term resilience of the resort and will protect the seasonal jobs offered annually each ski season.

#### ACCESS AND TRAFFIC

Charlotte Pass is only accessible during the winter season via over snow transport. There are limited seats on over snow transport and hence the development will not increase transport and access requirements to the resort. Likewise, the proposed development will not generate additional visitation to the resort during the summer and as a result will have no impact on the existing access and traffic to the alpine resort.

#### PRIVACY, VIEWS AND OVERSHADOWING

The proposed works are not anticipated to have any negative impacts to privacy, views and overshadowing.

#### AIR AND NOISE

#### AIR

Works involving dust dispersion will use water spray to help keep material damp and dust down. Covers will be placed over waste storage areas and piles of excavated materials to prevent dust dispersion. When transporting materials that cause dust they will be dampened and covered before moving.

#### NOISE

Noise on a construction site can become a form of pollution to the local environment through the use of plant, machinery and tools. For protection of employees and visitors to the site they are issued with PPE including ear protection.

To reduce noise pollution from site the following procedures will be followed:

- All plant, machinery and tools will be maintained in good working order at all times.
- Work involving noisy tools or machinery to be used inside the building structure when possible.
- Strict hours of operation for each site will be implemented to reduce noise pollution to the surrounding areas.
- In the instance of receiving a complaint in regard to noise levels immediate rectification will occur as far as practical.

#### SOIL, WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

The proposed works include minimal ground disturbance. Appropriate soil erosion control measures will be implemented on site during construction to prevent soil erosion from occurring. There are no proposed changes to the existing water and wastewater management infrastructure existing on site.

#### HERITAGE

Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is not identified as a heritage item on the state heritage register, nor is it listed as a heritage item in *Schedule 3 Heritage items – Chapter 4* of the *Precincts Regional SEPP 2021*. However, much consideration has been put into the proposed works to ensure that the new materials reflect the existing character of the lodge, to ensure the proposed changes are consistent with the character and design of CPV.

#### ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE

An AHIMS search found zero sites or places recorded or declared at the subject allotment See *Appendix I*. Likewise, there have been no known Aboriginal artefacts found during previous construction of the lodge. External works proposed will result in the minimal ground surface disturbance (see geotechnical assessment report attached) and hence the likelihood for Aboriginal artefacts to be found is very unlikely.

#### **VEGETATION REMOVAL**

Not applicable, the proposed works will be occurring in previously disturbed areas to ensure no vegetation removal is required.

#### ENERGY

Section J assessment has been undertaken by Gradwell Consulting and is submitted as part of this application.

#### WASTE

The proposed development is not expected to increase visitation numbers to the resort. As a result, there will be no need to increase the capacity of existing waste disposal facilities due to the proposed development.

Waste generated from the removal of the deteriorated materials and the general construction waste and other waste associated with the proposed works will be disposed of in the skip bin and deposited in the nearest council waste facility.

#### DEMOLITION

Waste generated from the proposed works will be disposed of in the skip bin and deposited in the nearest council waste facility.

TERMITE PROTECTION

To be conditioned as part of the Development Application consent.

SCHEDULE OF WORKS

Construction will be during the summer season from October to May with no works proposed to be conducted during the winter season June to September. The start date for construction is not yet set and the year will be determined after development consent has been issued.

#### 5.0 PERMISSIBILITY, LEGISLATION AND REGIONAL PLAN

#### 5.1 SOUTH EAST AND TABLELAND REGIONAL PLAN 2036

The proposed additions and alterations to Arlberg Ski Club Lodge will provide improved tourist accommodation and will ensure the longevity of the building by upgrading and improving the facilities at the lodge. This is consistent with the purpose of the Regional Plan, which offers a framework to provide infrastructure and development to help strengthen the long-term resilience of the South-East and Tableland region. The regional Plan seeks to acknowledge the unique environmental and cultural significance of Kosciuszko National Park, whilst also enhancing visitor experience, which this application has taken into consideration.

#### 5.2 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (PRECINCTS – REGIONAL) 2021

The proposal is for an extension, alterations and additions to the existing Arlberg Ski Club Lodge. The proposed works are permissible under Chapter 4 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts – Regional) 2021, as the works help to maintain the condition of the existing lodge, and do not propose any changes to the existing permissible uses of the building.



# FIGURE 22 LAND ZONING MAP CHARLOTTE PASS ALPINE RESORT – LAND USE TABLE PERMITTED WITHOUT CONSENT

Nil

### PERMITTED WITH CONSENT

Advertisements; Building identification signs; Business identification signs; Car parking; Commercial premises (other than brothels and workshops); Community facilities; Conference facilities; Educational establishments; Emergency services facilities; Entertainment facilities; Fences; Food outlets; Health profession consulting rooms; Helipads; Infrastructure facilities; Lifting facilities; Management trails; Medical centres; Places of public worship; Public utility undertakings; Recreation facilities;

10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176 info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au Recreation infrastructure; Shops; Ski slope huts; Ski slopes; Snow-making infrastructure; Stream flow monitoring stations; Telecommunications facilities; Tourist accommodation; Transport facilities; Vehicle repair stations; Weather stations

### PROHIBITED

Any development not otherwise specified in item 1 or 2.

#### 5.2.1 AIMS & OBJECTIVES OF CHAPTER (PART 4.1 PRECINCTS – REGIONAL SEPP)

(1) The aim of this Chapter is to protect and enhance the Alpine Region by ensuring development is managed with regard to the principles of ecologically sustainable development, including the conservation and restoration of ecological processes, natural systems and biodiversity.	Noted. The proposed works are consistent with the aims and objectives of the policy as the development will improve the condition and therefore longevity of the building. The proposal will create positive social and economic impacts and works towards securing the long-term resilience of the alpine resort.
<ul> <li>(2) The objectives of this Chapter are as follows— <ul> <li>(a) to encourage the carrying out of a range of development to support sustainable tourism in the Alpine Region all year round, if the development does not result in adverse environmental, social or economic impacts on the natural or cultural environment of the Alpine Region, including cumulative impacts on the environment from development and resource use,</li> <li>(b) to establish planning controls that— <ul> <li>(i) contribute to and facilitate the carrying out of ecologically sustainable development in the Alpine Region, and</li> <li>(ii) recognise the Alpine Region's significant contribution to recreation and the tourism economy in the State,</li> <li>(c) to minimise the risk to the community of exposure to environmental hazards, bush fires and flooding, by— <ul> <li>(i) generally requiring development consent on land in the Alpine Region, and</li> <li>(ii) establishing planning controls for buildings to ensure the safety of persons using the buildings if there is a fire.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	Complies, no adverse impacts will result from this application. The proposed works to Arlberg Ski Club Lodge will be of positive influence to CPV and will have no negative impact on existing summer and winter transport, reticulated effluent management, waste disposal or water supply. The proposed development will not generate additional visitation to the resort and hence will have no impact on the existing capacity of the reticulated effluent management system. Likewise, with no increase in visitation there will be no need to increase the capacity of existing waste disposal facilities or water supply. The proposed works are expected to have minimal environmental impact, groundworks will be carried out in previously disturbed areasWith the implementation of appropriate environmental controls during construction, the potential impacts from the works on the natural environment are negligible. The proposed development has been
	designed for minimal disturbance to the

10 Kosciuszko Road, Jindabyne NSW 2627 | 02 6456 7176 info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au

	surrounding natural environment with all works undertaken within the lease area. This includes the consideration of bushfire threat and geotechnical considerations. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report (BHAR) submitted as part of this application.
--	--

# 5.2.2 OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS (PART 4.4 PRECINCTS – REGIONAL SEPP)

4.21 Heritage Conservation		
<ol> <li>The objective of this section is to conserve—         <ul> <li>(a) the environmental heritage of the Alpine Region, and</li> <li>(b) the heritage significance of heritage items, including associated fabric, settings and views, and</li> <li>(c) Aboriginal heritage items and Aboriginal places.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	The proposed upgrades to the lodge will have no impact on the environmental heritage of the Alpine Region. Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is not identified as a heritage item on the state heritage register, nor is it listed as a heritage item in Schedule 4 Heritage items – Chapter 4 of the Precincts Regional SEPP 2021. No Aboriginal heritage items or places were identified on the AHIMS search as noted in Appendix I.	
<ul> <li>(2) Development consent is required for the following in the Alpine Region— <ul> <li>(a) demolishing or moving a heritage item,</li> <li>(b) altering a heritage item, including by doing the following to a heritage item that is a building— <ul> <li>(i) making changes to the detail, fabric, finish or appearance of the building's exterior,</li> <li>(ii) making structural changes to the building's interior,</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) disturbing or excavating land that is, or contains, an Aboriginal heritage item,</li> <li>(d) erecting a building on land that is, or contains, a heritage item,</li> </ul></li></ul>	Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is not identified as a heritage item on the state heritage register, nor is it listed as a heritage item in Schedule 3 Heritage items – Chapter 4 of the Precincts Regional SEPP 2021	
<ul> <li>(3) Development consent is not required under this section for the following development— <ul> <li>(a) development that involves only the removal of a tree or other vegetation that the consent authority is satisfied is a risk to human life or property,</li> <li>(b) exempt development,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A	

ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE

(c) development that does not require	
development consent under section	
4.14.	
(4) The consent authority may, before work	
is carried out, give written notice to an	noted
applicant for development consent that	
development consent is not required under	
this section if the consent authority is	
satisfied the development—	
(a) is of a minor nature or is for the	
maintenance of the heritage item, and	
(b) the development will not adversely	
impact the heritage significance of the	
heritage item.	
(5) In deciding whether to grant	Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is not identified as a
development consent for development	heritage item on the state heritage register,
under this section, the consent authority-	
(a) must consider the effect of the	nor is it listed as a heritage item in
development on—	Schedule 3 Heritage items – Chapter 4 of
(i) the heritage significance of the	the Precincts Regional SEPP 2021.
heritage item, and	The works will require ground surface
(ii) Aboriginal objects known or	disturbance being carried out in previously
reasonably likely to be located on the	disturbed ground, hence the likelihood for
land,	Aboriginal artefacts to be found is very
(b) may require the submission of a	unlikely. If any Aboriginal objects are found,
heritage conservation management	stop work and notify National Parks and
	Wildlife Services (NPWS).
plan, and	
(c) for development on land that is,	
contains or is near a heritage item-	
may require the preparation of a	
heritage impact statement.	
(6) Development consent must not be	AIHMS report conducted on 13/09/2023
granted to development on land that is, or	concluded that no Aboriginal sites were
contains, an Aboriginal heritage item, and	identified, or Aboriginal places have been
that requires development consent under	•
this section, unless the consent authority	declared in or near the Arlberg Ski Club
has—	Lodge.
(a) given written or other appropriate	
notice of the development to the local	
Aboriginal communities, and	
(b) considered responses received from	
the communities within 28 days after the	
notice is given.	
	1
4.22 Conservation incentives	
(1) This section applies to development in	Arlberg Ski Club Lodge is not identified as a
the Alpine Region that—	• •
(a) involves a building that is a heritage	heritage item on Schedule 4 Heritage Items
item, or	of the SEPP.
(b) is on land on which a building that is	
a heritage item is located.	
(2) Development consent may be granted to	
development to which this section applies,	N/A. The proposed works are permitted
even if the development would otherwise be	under this Chapter.

prohibited under this Chapter, if the consent	
authority is satisfied of the following—	
<ul><li>(a) granting the development consent</li></ul>	
will facilitate the conservation of the	
heritage item,	
(b) the development will be in	
accordance with a heritage conservation	
management plan that has been	
approved by the consent authority,	
(c) the development consent will require	
the carrying out of all necessary	
conservation work identified in the	
heritage conservation management	
plan,	
(d) the development will not adversely	
affect the heritage significance of the	
heritage item, including its setting,	
(e) the development will not have a	
significant adverse impact on the	
amenity of the surrounding area.	

# 5.2.3 DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT & CONSENT (PART 4.5 PRECINCTS – REGIONAL SEPP)

4.26 Master plans			
<ul><li>(1) The Minister must prepare and approve a master plan that applies to the Alpine Region.</li></ul>	noted		
<ul> <li>(2) The master plan must contain the following information— <ul> <li>(a) the strategic vision and general objectives for the Alpine Region,</li> <li>(b) a map showing existing and proposed types of development,</li> <li>(c) the performance criteria for development,</li> <li>(d) information about heritage items or places of heritage significance,</li> <li>(e) limitations on development on certain land, including environmentally sensitive areas, land prone to flooding and cultural heritage.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	noted		
(3) The master plan may also contain proposals for infrastructure facilities, public utility undertakings, roads and transport.	noted		
(4) The master plan must be consistent with this Chapter.	noted		
(5) The Minister may amend or replace a master plan.	noted		
<ul> <li>(6) A draft master plan must be published on the NSW planning portal for at least 28 days before it is approved by the Minister.</li> <li>(7) A master plan approved by the Minister</li> </ul>	noted		
---	--	--	--
must be published on the NSW planning portal and takes effect on the day it is published.			
4.27 Consultation with National Parks and V	4.27 Consultation with National Parks and Wildlife Service		
<ul> <li>(1) Development consent must not be granted to development in the Alpine</li> <li>Region unless the consent authority has— <ul> <li>(a) consulted with the National Parks and Wildlife Service, and</li> <li>(b) considered submissions received from the National Parks and Wildlife</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	noted		
Service within the relevant period. (2) In this section—	noted		
relevant period means— (a) 28 days after notice of the development application is given to the National Parks and Wildlife Service, or (b) another period determined by the Planning Secretary.			
4.28 Consideration of master plans and othe	er documents		
<ul> <li>(1) In deciding whether to grant development consent to development in the Alpine Region, the consent authority must consider the following— <ul> <li>(a) the aim and objectives of this Chapter set out in section 4.1,</li> <li>(b) a draft development control plan that is intended to apply to the land and has been published on the NSW planning portal,</li> <li>(c) a conservation agreement under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 of the Commonwealth that applies to the land,</li> <li>(d) the Geotechnical Policy — Kosciuszko Alpine Resorts published by the Department in November 2003,</li> <li>(e) for development in the Perisher Range Alpine Resort— <ul> <li>(i) the Perisher Range Resorts Master Plan, published by the National Parks and Wildlife Service in November 2001, and</li> <li>(ii) the Perisher Blue Ski Resort Ski Slope Master Plan adopted by the National Parks and Wildlife Service in May 2002.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Complies N/A. No DCP is in effect for the development site. N/A Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Report ref 215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas Partners have been submitted with this application. Complies		

ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE

<ul> <li>(2) In deciding whether to grant development consent to development in the Alpine Region, the consent authority must consider— <ul> <li>(a) a master plan approved by the Minister under section 4.26 that applies to the land, or</li> <li>(b) if a master plan has not been approved—a draft master plan prepared under section 4.26 that is intended to apply to the land and has been published on the NSW planning portal.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Complies
4.29 Consideration of environmental, geotec	hnical and other matters
<ul> <li>(1) In deciding whether to grant development consent to development in the Alpine Region, the consent authority must consider the following— <ul> <li>(a) measures proposed to address geotechnical issues relating to the development,</li> <li>(b) the extent to which the development</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A
will achieve an appropriate balance	Complies. Existing
between— (i) the conservation of the natural environment, and (ii) taking measures to mitigate environmental hazards, including	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Report ref 215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas Partners have been submitted with this application & Bushfire Report.
<ul> <li>geotechnical hazards, bush fires and flooding,</li> <li>(c) the visual impact of the proposed development, particularly when viewed from the land identified as the Main Range Management Unit in the Kosciuszko National Park Plan of Management,</li> <li>(d) the cumulative impacts of development and resource use on the</li> </ul>	Complies. The proposed works will ensure that the new materials used in the build reflect the existing character of the lodge. This will ensure the proposed changes are consistent with the character and design of CPV.
<ul> <li>development and resource use on the environment of the Alpine Subregion in which the development is carried out,</li> <li>(e) the capacity of existing infrastructure and services for transport to and within the Alpine Region to deal with additional usage generated by the development, including in peak periods,</li> <li>(f) the capacity of existing waste or resource management facilities to deal with additional waste generated by the development, including in peak periods.</li> </ul>	N/A Existing The proposed development will not generate additional visitation to the resort and hence will have no impact on the existing infrastructure capacity and services for transport. Likewise, with no increase in visitation there will be no need to increase the capacity of existing waste disposal facilities, water supply or the reticulated effluent management system.
(2) For development involving earthworks or stormwater draining works, the consent	Minimal earthworks are proposed. Appropriate sedimentation and erosion

authority must also consider measures to mitigate adverse impacts associated with the works.	control measures will be implemented on site during construction to ensure the proposed works do not adversely impact upon the surrounding natural and built environment. See SEMP at the end of this report for further detail. There are no proposed changes to the
	existing stormwater drainage measures currently used for Arlberg Ski Club Lodge.
<ul> <li>(3) For development the consent authority considers will significantly alter the character of an Alpine Subregion, the consent authority must also consider— <ul> <li>(a) the existing character of the site and immediate surroundings, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The proposed alterations and additions uses materials consistent with the existing and surrounding lodges ensuring the maintenance of the existing character of the building.
(b) how the development will relate to the Alpine Subregion.	The proposed works aim to retain the original alpine character of the CPV. Hence, the proposed works will not significantly alter the character of the resort or Kosciuszko National Park.
4.30 Kosciuszko National Park Plan of Management	
(1) Development consent may be granted to development in the Alpine Region even if the application has not established that the development is consistent with the Kosciuszko National Park Plan of Management.	N/A The development is consistent with the Kosciuszko National Park Plan of Management.
<ul> <li>(2) This section does not prevent the consent authority from refusing to grant consent to development on the basis that the development is not consistent with the Kosciuszko National Park Plan of Management.</li> <li>Note—</li> <li>Under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974, section 81(4), operations on land to which a plan of management under that Act applies may be undertaken only if they are undertaken in accordance with the plan of management, despite another Act or an instrument made under an Act.</li> </ul>	noted

info@completeplanning.com.au | www.accenttownplanning.com.au

# 5.3 SNOWY MOUNTAINS SPECIAL ACTIVATION PRECINCT MASTER PLAN JULY 2022

# **5.3.1 CHAPTER 10 ALPINE PRECINCT PROVISIONS**

Land Use (10.1) Performance Criteria	
A. Development is to be permissible and	Complies. Alpine DCP in not yet in effect.
consistent with the Master Plan, Precincts—	
Regional SEPP, Alpine Development	
Control Plan, Kosciuszko National Park	
Plan of Management, and the National	
Parks and Wildlife Act.	
B. In considering the suitability of the	Complies with Master Plan. Alpine DCP in
development, the consent authority must be	not yet in effect.
satisfied that the development meets the	not yet in enect.
performance criteria and development	
controls in this Master Plan and in the	
Alpine Development Control Plan.	
Appropriate locations for alpine development	
C. Development consent can only be	Complies
issued for development in the Alpine Precinct where:	
i. the uses will support the diversification of the Alpine Precinct's tourism offering and	
year-round economic viability. ii. the uses will not compromise the	
environmental, heritage and cultural values of the Alpine Precinct.	
iii. the uses will not exceed the established	
carrying capacity of the Alpine Precinct.	N/A
D. The location of future development	IN/A
should align with the relevant structure plan and be focused on land marked	
'Development area'. Where development is	
proposed on land outside these areas,	
additional technical investigation may be required.	
E. Development for new or upgraded	N/A
accommodation will meet the indicative	
sub-precinct yields and visitor thresholds	
set out in the Kosciuszko National Park	
Plan of Management and leasing	
arrangements. Refer also to Chapter 14 of	
this Master Plan	
Alpine Resorts (10.2) Performance Criteria	
A. Development should contribute to visitor	N/A Existing.
attraction and village experience through:	
i. the prioritisation of infill development.	
•	
ii. improvements to pedestrian and active	
transport connections.	
iii. creation and implementation of active	
street frontages.	Eviating
B. Development should integrate public	Existing
transport opportunities and should create	

gateways and nodes to create a sense of	
place and community in Alpine Resort sub-	
precincts.	
C. Development should provide a range of	Existing club lodge licenced to provide
tourist accommodation offerings and	accommodation for 30 adults. No change is
seasonal worker accommodation.	proposed to current use of the building.
D. Development should be designed to	The proposed alterations and additions will
reduce on-site power consumption and	improve the use of the building with the new
improve environmental performance	works being easy to maintain and achieve a
	high level of bushfire compliance.
E. Development should be designed to	Complies. The proposed extension and
contribute to the alpine character of the	alterations will retain the original alpine
Alpine Resorts and reflect the alpine	character of Charlotte Pass Village keeping
landscape and natural environment.	in line with the existing building.
Alpine Accommodation (10.3) Performanc	e Criteria
These provisions are specific to	N/A
development within the following Alpine	
Accommodation sub-precincts:• Ski Rider•	
Sponars Chalet • Thredbo Ranger Station•	
Creel Bay• Kosciuszko Tourist Park• Island	
Bend	
Alpine Experience (10.4) Performance Crit	eria
A. Public transport or mass transit	N/A Existing development
connections	
should be integrated into the design of new	
developments, particularly in Alpine Resort	
and	
Alpine Accommodation sub-precincts.	
B. Transport development must provide	N/A
safe, reliable and accessible connections	
into and around the Kosciuszko National	
Park.	
C. Development should be designed and	Noted. The proposed works will not impact
staged to support and enable the ultimate	on the operations of CPV.
growth of accommodation and attractions in	
the Alpine Region.	
D. Development of new and upgraded	N/A
shared trails and paths should provide	
appropriate facilities and amenities.	
E. Development should provide adequate	N/A Existing
car parking as part of a range of transport	
solutions (including the provision of	
accessible parking spaces).	
F. Visitor attractions must be supported by	Complies. Existing
appropriate amenities, facilities and car	
parking and must minimise its impact to the	
natural environment.	
G. Visitor attractions should be designed	Existing.
and staged to support and enable the	
ultimate growth of attractions in the Alpine	
Region.	

# 5.3.2 CHAPTER 11 ENVIRONMENT & SUSTAINABILITY

Diadiyaraity (44.4) Darfarmanaa Critaria	
Biodiversity (11.1) Performance Criteria	Quere l'est
A. All development is to apply the avoid, minimise and offset methodology.	Complies.
B. Development is to avoid threatened	Complies. Works are to be undertaken
ecological communities and threatened	within the existing lease on previously
species habitat; such vegetation should not	disturbed area.
be removed.	
Development may occur in these areas if it	
is for essential infrastructure	
C. Development should be focused on	N/A
colocation and infill to minimise biodiversity	
impacts	
D. Development should be concentrated in	Complies. The new proposed extension will
and around already disturbed areas. Where	be built in areas of previously disturbed
possible, development should provide a	
	ground within the lease area.
buffer between areas of high ecological	
value and buildings and structures. E. Development should consider the	Complies. No vegetation clearing is
biodiversity impacts of bushfire asset	proposed as part of this application, nor to establish APZ.
protection zones (APZ) and associated	See Bushfire Report by Accent Town
vegetation management.	
	Planning submitted with this application.
F. Development must offset any impacts to	No biodiversity impact.
biodiversity through direct management	
measures within Kosciuszko National Park	
and should be related to the biodiversity	
impacted	
G. Riparian corridors must be preserved	N/A The lodge is not located within 40m of
while ensuring consistency with the	a waterway and the proposed works will not
proposed Flooding and Drainage Strategy	impact upon terrestrial and aquatic habitats.
for the Precinct.	
H. Any revegetation or planting within	N/A
Kosciuszko National Park should follow the	
Rehabilitation Guidelines for the Resort	
Areas of Kosciuszko National Park	
Geotechnical (11.2) Performance Criteria	
A. Development must address the	Geotechnical compliance has been detailed
requirements of the Geotechnical Policy –	under section 2.3 of this SEE. Preliminary
Kosciuszko National Park (DPNIR, 2003).	Geotechnical Assessment Report ref
This includes:	215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas
i. development on land covered by the	Partners have been submitted with this
geotechnical maps, under the above policy	application.
must	
ensure the requirements of the policy are	
met.	
ii. development on land not covered by the	
geotechnical maps under the above policy	
must ensure the requirements of the policy	
are met and should also use the risk	
susceptibility	
mapping to inform the requirements and	
design of development	

B. Development must include an assessment of geotechnical risks.	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Report ref 215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas Partners have been submitted with this application.
C. Buildings and structures must be designed to accommodate the specific geotechnical risks identified for the site	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Report ref 215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas Partners have been submitted with this application.
D. Excavations required for new developments must consider the potential to cause widespread slope instability and ensure appropriate mitigation measures are implemented to minimise and manage risk.	Douglas Partners engineering assessment notes 'Site works as detailed in Drawings SK1a – SK7a dated 1 January 2021 (see attached drawings) indicate that a maximum depth of cut of 0.7 m and a maximum depth of fill of 0.9 m will be required to facilitate construction levels.' and further provides recommendations that are to be incorporated into the design for the proposed works.
Flood Management Risk (11.3) Performance	
<ul> <li>A. The Flood Planning Level is the 1% AEP plus 500mm freeboard to ensure consistency across the Precinct. Development must generally occur outside the Flood Planning Level unless it can demonstrate that risks can be suitably managed. This allows for the maintenance of flood function and to avoid adverse effects on flood behaviour to the detriment of other properties or the environment of the floodplain</li> <li>B. Development within the Flood Planning Level should demonstrate that: <ul> <li>all structures are constructed with flood compatible building components below the 1% AEP flood level plus 500mm freeboard.</li> <li>all structures are designed to withstand the forces of floodwater, debris and buoyancy up to 1% AEP flood plus 500mm freeboard</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A The subject site is not identified as flood prone land.
C. Development within the Probable Maximum Flood area should demonstrate that: i. all emergency and evacuation infrastructure is to be constructed with flood compatible building components below Probable Maximum Flood level plus 500mm freeboard. ii. all emergency and evacuation infrastructure structures are to be designed to withstand forces of floodwater, debris, and buoyancy up to Probable Maximum Flood plus	N/A

500mm freeboard.	
iii. development must be sited, designed	
and located to avoid or mitigate the flood	
risk to people, property and infrastructure	
such that:	
<ul> <li>flood risk is managed through site- aposition built form and design</li> </ul>	
specific built form and design.	
sensitive, vulnerable and critical	
uses are avoided in the floodplain.	
D. Development should mitigate the	N/A
impacts of local overland flooding through	
the provision of adequate site drainage	
systems, where possible.	
E. Development must consider and plan for	N/A
emergency evacuation situations to ensure	
the safety of all areas within the Probable	
Maximum Flood extent.	
	1
Water Quality (11.4) Performance Criteria	The subject site dags not contain success
A. Maintain or improve the ecological	The subject site does not contain areas
condition of waterbodies and their riparian	identified as "Riparian Lands and
zones in catchments over the long term	Watercourses", The nearest waterway is
	Spencers Creek which is approximately
	120m from the lodge.
	Waste will be handled carefully and
	disposed of correctly on site to avoid any
	contamination of the site and the local
	waterway.
	, ,
B. Development in the Alpine Precinct	Existing on-site water management and
should implement on-site water	water quality systems will not be altered as
management and water quality systems	part of this application.
through:	
i. the capture and re-use of water on-site.	
ii. the treatment of water on-site with any	
,	
water discharged back into catchments	
having a neutral or beneficial effect on	
water quality.	
iii. incorporating water sensitive urban	
design principles into the development's-	
built form and landscaping, where possible.	
C. The quality of stormwater discharged	Existing stormwater systems will not be
into receiving catchments must be pre-	altered as part of this application.
development quality or better in relation to	,
pH, total suspended solids, total	
phosphorus, total nitrogen and gross	
pollutants. The quality of water should aim	
to meet the following targets:	
• •	
i. Total Suspended Solids: 85% reduction.	
ii. Total Phosphorus: 60% reduction.	
iii. Total Nitrogen: 45% reduction.	
D. The quality of water discharged into	No change
receiving	
catchments should maintain electrical	

conductivity levels. Water quality should	
aim to	
maintain an electrical conductivity below the	
30	
µS/cm ANZG 2018 Guideline value for	
upland	
rivers of South-East Australia	N1/A
E. Monitor macroinvertebrates to ensure	N/A
they	
are consistently within Band A of the NSW AUSRIVAS model.	
F. Erosion and sediment control should be	Appropriate sedimentation and erosion
managed during construction to ensure	control measures will be implemented on
impacts to waterways are minimised in	site during construction to ensure the
accordance with	proposed works do not adversely impact
Managing Urban Stormwater Soils and	upon the surrounding natural and built
Construction, also known as the Blue Book	environment.
(current edition)	
G. Discharge of wastewater and/or	Noted.
contaminated stormwater to watercourses	
or waterways is not permitted unless other	
specified in an environmental protection	
licence issued under the Protection of the	
Environment Operations Act 1997.	
Development must obtain the appropriate	
water licenses in accordance with the Water	
Act 1912 and the Water Management Act 2000 and consider the relevant Water	
Sharing Plan	
Bushfire (11.5) Performance Criteria	1
A. Development is to:	The subject site is identified as being in
i. minimise perimeters exposed to the	bushfire prone land, and hence as outlined
bushfire hazard.	in Planning for Bushfire Protection – PBP
ii. minimise vegetated corridors that permit	2019 (NSW RFS 2019) is considered
the passage of bushfire towards	Special Fire Protection Purpose (SFPP)
development.	and is required to obtain a BFSA from the
iii. provide for the siting of future	
	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See
development away from ridge-tops and	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See
development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests. iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests. iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application.
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application.
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a bushfire hazard and future development</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat intensities at the building surface. The
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a bushfire hazard and future development and are designed to address the relevant</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat intensities at the building surface. The entire lot is to be managed as an Inner
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a bushfire hazard and future development and are designed to address the relevant bushfire attack mechanisms</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat intensities at the building surface. The entire lot is to be managed as an Inner Protection Area (IPA)
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a bushfire hazard and future development and are designed to address the relevant bushfire attack mechanisms</li> <li>C. Adequate access is to be provided from</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat intensities at the building surface. The entire lot is to be managed as an Inner Protection Area (IPA) Charlotte Way is a two-wheel drive, all
<ul> <li>development away from ridge-tops and steep slopes, within saddles and narrow ridge crests.</li> <li>iv. ensure capacity of existing infrastructure (such as roads and utilities) can accommodate the increase in demand during emergencies as a result of the development.</li> <li>B. Asset Protection Zones are to be provided and maintained between a bushfire hazard and future development and are designed to address the relevant bushfire attack mechanisms</li> </ul>	RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See Bushfire Hazard Assessment submitted as part of this application. The APZ consists of an Inner Protection Area (IPA). The IPA is critical for providing a defendable space and managing heat intensities at the building surface. The entire lot is to be managed as an Inner Protection Area (IPA)

F	<u>.</u>
provide access to hazard vegetation to	residents are evacuating an area. The
facilitate bushfire mitigation works and fire	capacity of road surfaces is sufficient to
suppression	carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles.
D. Development is to minimise levels of	The use of Colorbond steel cladding and
radiant heat, localised smoke and ember	granite cladding will improve the bushfire
attack through development design and	protection for the lodge (section 6.5 PBP
siting	2019).
E. The subdivision of land and location of	N/A
developments should consider the future	
uses of land and the inclusion of roads into	
Asset	
Protection Zones	
Sustainability & Climate Change (11.6) Pe	
A. Development must be inclusive and	Complies.
sustainable and promote year round use.	
B. Development should preserve the	Impacts on the natural environment will be
Precincts landscape, cultural, heritage and	minimal with the implementation of
biodiversity values by avoiding and	appropriate environmental controls during
minimising impact.	construction limiting the potential impacts
	from the works on the existing natural
	environment.
C. Development should support sustainable	Existing
and active transport opportunities and	
integrate open space. Buildings are to	
express a strong commitment to ESD	
principles and incorporate passive design,	
optimal orientation, effective sun shading,	
cross ventilation and open plan living. This should be evident in the external	
architectural expression.	
D. Development should comply with	Noted.
applicable sustainability tools and programs	Noted.
for design, construction and operation.	
E. Consideration must be given to climate	Consideration of materials has been made
responsiveness and resilience. Climate	for the project.
change risks, hazard and opportunities	
must be considered in the design,	
construction and operation of development	
within the Precinct.	
F. Operators, lessees and licensees within	Noted
the Precinct must prepare and maintain an	
Environmental Management System in	
accordance with ISO14001:2015 –	
Environmental management systems and	
the requirements of the Plan of	
Management for Kosciuszko National Park	

# 5.3.3 CHAPTER 12 PLACE & LANDSCAPE

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (12.1) Performance Criteria	
A. Areas of Aboriginal cultural heritage	N/A However, should any material
(included as part of the environmentally	suspected of being an Aboriginal object
sensitive areas map) should not be	become unearthed in the course of works
developed. Development may occur in	associated with the proposed works, all

ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE

<ul> <li>these areas if it is for essential</li> <li>infrastructure and where further Aboriginal</li> <li>cultural heritage assessment will be</li> <li>undertaken to appropriately mitigate and</li> <li>manage any impacts to Aboriginal cultural</li> <li>heritage items, places or areas.</li> <li>B. Aboriginal culturally significant places</li> <li>and sites should be integrated with areas of</li> <li>environmental significance and green</li> <li>space (where appropriate) across the</li> <li>Precinct. This may continue to evolve as</li> <li>greening opportunities across the Precinct</li> <li>are established</li> </ul>	work at that location shall cease immediately as per Section 90 of the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974, and the Office of Environment and Heritage shall be contacted immediately to arrange for representatives to inspect the site. Noted.
C. Development in areas where surveys have not been undertaken require further Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment. These assessments must be carried out in accordance with Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW (as modified from time to time) prior to any development on this land. These assessments must include a visual survey of the land. Once suitably assessed, any land identified as having Aboriginal cultural heritage significance should be included on the Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) map. Development is to be assessed against the mapped zones of archaeological potential as required by the following: i. development within areas identified as 'disturbed land' do not require any further investigation beyond considering the potential for subsurface archaeological deposits. If current disturbances are considered to cover intact archaeological deposits, further investigation should take place that may include test excavation. Should development encounter any unexpected finds during construction, the procedures under the relevant unexpected finds protocol should be followed. ii. works within areas identified as "moderate ACH potential' or 'high ACH potential' should be avoided. Where development will impact these areas, further Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment must be undertaken. This assessment should include a visual inspection, possibly test excavation if warranted, and participation from the Aboriginal community	An AHIMS search found zero sites or places recorded or declared at the subject allotment See Appendix I. Likewise, there have been no known Aboriginal artefacts found during previous construction of the lodge. External works proposed will result in the minimal ground surface disturbance (see preliminary geotechnical assessment report attached) and hence the likelihood for Aboriginal artefacts to be found is very unlikely.
D. Development planned on land in which an Aboriginal object is located should be	N/A

supported by a heritage impact assessment which should be prepared to assess the extent to which a proposed development would harm Aboriginal objects.	
E. If impact to an Aboriginal object is unavoidable, an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) under Part 6 of the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 would be required.	Noted.
Historic Heritage (12.2) Performance Crite	ria
A. Development in areas defined as 'disturbed land' can occur without further historic heritage investigation however must consider neighbouring heritage items and broader heritage values.	Noted.
B. Development on land where a heritage item is situated, that is a heritage item or is on land adjacent to a heritage item must prepare a statement of heritage impact.	The lodge is not identified as having any heritage significance. However, much consideration has been put into the proposed works to ensure that the new materials reflect the existing character of the lodge, to ensure the proposed changes are consistent with the character and design of CPV.
<ul> <li>C. Development in areas defined as 'high risk' or 'moderate risk' requires further heritage assessment where the development is likely to materially have a major affect on a heritage item or its value. Development is considered to have a materially major affect if it involves: <ul> <li>i. the full or partial demolition of a building.</li> <li>ii. major alterations or additions.</li> <li>iii. major adverse impacts, such as the removal of significant fabric, obscuring key views or dominating a heritage item, or the removal of evidence of significant historical associations; and</li> <li>iv. impact to significant archaeological deposits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A
<ul> <li>D. Development in areas defined as 'high risk' or 'moderate risk' requires further heritage assessment where the development is likely to materially have a minor affect on a heritage item or value.</li> <li>Development is considered to have a minor affect if it involves (but is not limited to): <ol> <li>i. repairs or restoration to fabric.</li> <li>ii. installation of fire safety equipment.</li> <li>iii. installation of disabled access.</li> <li>iv. replacement of awnings, balconies, etc.</li> <li>v. installation of areas without archaeological potential.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	N/A

ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE

viii. installation of safety and security	
equipment.	
E. Where development is likely to materially	N/A
have a major effect on a heritage item or	
value, further heritage assessment is	
required. This heritage assessment	
includes:	
i. a visual inspection to determine the	
existing heritage values.	
ii. an archaeological assessment (if	
appropriate).	
iii. preparation of a statement of heritage	
impact.	
F. Where development will have minor	N/A
effect on a heritage item or value, a	
heritage assessment may be required. This	
heritage assessment may include:	
a visual inspection to determine the existing	
heritage values.	
i. an archaeological assessment (if	
appropriate).	
ii. use of a previously prepared heritage	
study if applicable.	
G. Development that is likely to have a	N/A
materially major or minor effect on a	
heritage item or its value must:	
i. identify the impacts to the heritage values	
of an item or place.	
ii. demonstrate the need for the impact and	
how alternatives to the impact have been	
considered.	
iii. demonstrate how the adverse impacts	
will be minimised or mitigated.	
H. Development adjacent to a heritage item	N/A
should ensure impacts to the heritage item	
are minimised, including through the	
provision of appropriate curtilages. There	
may be opportunities to reduce the curtilage	
to some heritage items if it can be	
demonstrated the development will not	
have a significant impact on the heritage	
item or its value.	
I. Heritage items must be used for purposes	N/A
that are appropriate to their heritage	
significance,	
including adaptive re-use where	
appropriate.	
J. Development is to ensure long-term	The new materials reflect the existing
heritage conservation outcomes are	character of the lodge, ensuring the
retained or interpreted to reflect the history	proposed changes are consistent with the
of heritage items and places.	character and design of CPV.
K. Development should through	Noted. N/A
redevelopment or upgrades remove	
inappropriate or unsympathetic alterations	

and additions to heritage items and	
reinstate significant missing details and	
building elements, where possible.	
Landscape, Character & Open Space (12.3	) Performance Criteria
A. Development should be designed to	Existing
sensitively integrate into the landscape and	-
should respond appropriately to the	
topography and climate of the Alpine	
Precinct	
B. Development should protect, conserve	N/A Existing
and enhance the Alpine Precinct's natural	
environment and create a green	
infrastructure network, where possible.	
C. Landscaping and public open spaces	Landscaping is existing.
should include plantings of native species	Landoaping to oxioting.
found in surrounding plant communities,	
which aim to achieve the re-establishment	
of biodiversity in addition to aesthetic	
appeal and enhancement of the	
functionality of an area.	
D. Revegetation and new plantings should	No revegetation is proposed.
follow the Rehabilitation guidelines for the	No revegetation is proposed.
Resort Areas of Kosciuszko National Park	
E. Development should integrate	Existing.
stormwater management infrastructure with	Existing.
open spaces, where possible.	
Built Form (12.4) Performance Criteria	
General criteria for all development in the	Alpine Region
A. Buildings should be efficient, well	The proposed extension and alteration
designed and successfully integrated with	works are deemed to be of positive
the surrounding landscape. This will be	influence to the Arlberg Ski Club Lodge and
achieved by:	locality. The proposed extension is not
•	anticipated to have any negative impacts on
i. ensuring building bulk, orientation and	the amenity of the area.
design contributes to the energy efficiency	the amenity of the area.
of buildings, particularly with respect to thermal comfort.	
ii. ensuring new buildings are located within	
aviating disturbed areas to minimizes	
existing disturbed areas to minimises	
impacts on vegetation and natural	
impacts on vegetation and natural processes.	
impacts on vegetation and natural processes. iii. siting development within existing	
impacts on vegetation and natural processes. iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural hazards and climate change into</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural hazards and climate change into development design ensuring development</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural hazards and climate change into development design ensuring development creates activated public domain spaces and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural hazards and climate change into development design ensuring development creates activated public domain spaces and provides safe and accessible pedestrian</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>impacts on vegetation and natural processes.</li> <li>iii. siting development within existing disturbed areas to limit clearing and the expansion of new development areas.</li> <li>iv. incorporating climate resilient design principles in new development.</li> <li>v. applying suitable rehabilitation and native landscaping.</li> <li>vi. incorporating preparedness for natural hazards and climate change into development design ensuring development creates activated public domain spaces and</li> </ul>	

B. Site earthworks must respond to local topography and geotechnical characteristics and be appropriate for the intended land use.	Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Report ref 215619.00 dated 15/9/22 from Douglas Partners have been submitted with this application.
For village centres and public domain	
A. Development should create an integrated streetscape where active frontages promote movement between the private and public realms.	N/A existing
B. Building entries should connect to an accessible (providing equitable access to all pedestrians) pedestrian network through design features, wayfinding, and landscape treatments	Existing
C. Development should integrate and provide public seating, shelter and lighting to contribute to increased activity and safety in the public realm	Existing
D. Development should provide human- scale buildings ensuring building envelopes allow adequate solar access and views, including ensuring significant views to natural features are protected	The proposed alteration and addition works will have minimal change the design or scale of the existing building. Hence, there is no anticipated impact to the privacy of occupiers and users of other land. Furthermore the proposed works will not impact the solar access or views available to recreational users of the alpine resort.
E. Development should provide for year- round weather protection that reduces the impacts of wind and snow accumulation in winter and provides adequate shade in summer	Existing. The roof design of the lodge is appropriately sloped, and directs accumulated snow to fall away from entrances, establishing safe entry and exit into and out of the Arlberg Ski Lodge. The proposed new extension will be built under and existing first floor terrace.
F. Development should provide clearly defined and separate pedestrian and vehicle entries to minimise conflicts.	Existing
G. Development should allow for snow clearing and adequate interface with oversnow vehicles, where appropriate.	Existing

# D.3.4 CHAPTER 13 TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE

Transport Network (13.1) Performance Criteria		
<ul> <li>A. Transport infrastructure should integrate the public transport network with the existing road network by:</li> <li>I. ensuring public transport stops are strategically located and provide adequate all-weather shelter and accessibility.</li> </ul>	Existing	

ii. minimising vehicle conflict with active	
transport and public transport routes	
B. Development must provide operational	Existing
access and egress for emergency services	
and occupants	
C. Development should integrate active	Existing. The proposed works will not result
transport connections that promote	in an increase of visitors to the resort.
movements between the Alpine resorts,	
where possible	
D. New development must provide and	N/A. Existing
integrate new technologies, such as electric	
vehicle charging and electronic	
checkpoints, where possible	
Utilities, Services & Infrastructure Perform	
A. Development within the site must have	Complies - Existing
access to water, wastewater, digital	
connectivity and telecommunications,	
energy and drainage infrastructure.	
B. Utilities and services must be integrated	Existing
with existing infrastructure and services,	
where possible.	
C. Utilities and services should be	Existing
integrated into road reserves, active	
transport corridors or the public domain,	
where possible.	
D. Infrastructure and services must be	Existing
designed to provide for the ultimate growth	
and development in Alpine Resorts.	
E. Development should provide and	Existing
integrate water cycle management and	
renewable energy solutions into the design	
of buildings and structures, where possible	

# 5.3 OTHER APPROVALS RURAL FIRES ACT 1997 (New Legislation)

The subject site is identified as being in bushfire prone land, and hence as outlined in *Planning for Bushfire Protection – PBP 2019* (NSW RFS 2019) is considered Special Fire Protection Purpose (SFPP) and is required to obtain a BFSA from the RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See bushfire hazard assessment report submitted as part of this application.

# 5.4 ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT 1979 (203)

# 5.4.1 OBJECTS OF THE EP&A ACT

In determining a development application, a consent authority must take into consideration the matters referred to in Clause 4.15 (1) of the EP&A Act as are of relevance to the development:

4.15 - 1 (a) (i) the provisions of an environmental planning instrument

The applicable environmental planning instrument is State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts—Regional) 2021.

4.15 - 1 (a) (ii) the provisions of any proposed instrument that is or has been the subject of public consultation under this Act and that has been notified to the consent authority (unless

STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOR ARLBERG SKI CLUB LODGE 93 CHARLOTTE WAY CHARLOTTE PASS NSW

the Planning Secretary has notified the consent authority that the making of the proposed instrument has been deferred indefinitely or has not been approved)

None are applicable to the proposal.

4.15 – 1 (a) (iii) the provisions of any development control plan

None are applicable to the proposal.

4.15 - 1 (a) (iiia) the provisions of any planning agreement that has been entered into under section 7.4, or any draft planning agreement that a developer has offered to enter into under section 7.4

None are applicable to the proposal.

4.15 - 1 (a) (iv) the provisions of any Regulations (to the extent that they prescribe matters for the purposes of this paragraph)

None are applicable to the proposal.

4.15 - 1 (b) the likely impacts of that development, including environmental impacts on both the natural and built environments, and social and economic impacts in the locality

**NATURAL ENVIRONMENT:** The proposed works are not anticipated to have any negative impacts on the surrounding natural environment.

**BUILT ENVIRONMENT:** The proposed alterations and additions will improve the condition of Arlberg Ski Club Lodge to ensure its longevity. The proposal aims to retain the original alpine character and fabric of Charlotte Pass Village. Hence, the overall change to the built environment is considered of positive influence on the existing building ad locality.

**SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS IN THE LOCALITY:** The proposed works have been designed to minimise any amenity impacts on the neighbouring buildings, they are not expected to generate any negative social or economic issues.

4.15 - 1 (c) the suitability of the site for the development

The proposed alterations and additions will improve the condition of Arlberg Ski Club Lodge and create an improved visitor experience to CPV and are deemed as suitable for the subject site.

The subject site is identified as being in bushfire prone land, and hence as outlined in *Planning for Bushfire Protection – PBP 2019* (NSW RFS 2019) is considered Special Fire Protection Purpose (SFPP) and is required to obtain a BFSA from the RFS under section 100B of the RF Act. See BHAR submitted as part of this application.

See preliminary geotechnical assessment report as part of this application.

4.15 – 1 (d) any submissions made in accordance with this Act or the regulations

This application will require mandatory notification in accordance with the EP&A Act. Due to the minimal impact and nature of the works, the proposal is unlikely to raise significant objection.

4.15 - 1 (e) the public interest

The development proposal satisfies the objectives of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts—Regional) 2021 and is considered positive in terms of the public interest.

# 5.4.2 BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT 2016

Section 1.7 of the EP&A Act requires the application of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act) in connection with the terrestrial environment.

It is vital that all development and clearing follows the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme which has been created to avoid, minimise, and offset impacts on biodiversity.

No vegetation clearing is proposed as part of this application, nor to establish APZ. Proposed clearing is below the clearing threshold and the site is not located within an area identified with high biodiversity values on the BVM. The proposal will not have a significant effect on threatened species or ecological communities and is not declared as an area of outstanding biodiversity value within Kosciuszko National Park. As a result, biodiversity offsets do not apply as part of this application.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSION

#### SUITABILITY OF THE SITE FOR DEVELOPMENT

The proposed works will improve the amenity of the existing tourist accommodation for the benefit of visitors and guests to the lodge and Charlotte Pass Snow Resort.

Materials used in the new extension will be in accordance with supplier specifications and will provide all-weather protection while protecting from damp and provide bushfire protection for the lodge. The use of stone and steel cladding creates an aesthetically pleasing façade that complements the built environment and upgrades of other lodges throughout the Kosciuszko National Park.

The minimal groundworks are confined to a previously disturbed area with no proposed impact to any threatened species or ecological communities. No recorded or known aboriginal site or artefacts have found within proximity of the existing lodge.

As a result, the proposed site selection has made every effort to ensure protection of the unique environmental and cultural elements of the Kosciuszko National Park through an environmentally sustainable and thoughtful development.

The proposal complies with the relevant environmental planning instruments and policies. The Statement of Environmental Effects confirms that the site is suitable and capable of sustaining the proposed development, with no adverse impacts.

Submissions Made in Accordance with the Act or regulations:

Given the proposals minimal environmental impact and improved amenity to the site it is unlikely to raise significant objection.

#### The Public Interest

The proposal is in the public interest as:

- It provides a sustainable land use.
- The proposal is suitable within the locality.
- The proposal is positive in terms of the amenity of the area.

# 7.0 GENERAL SITE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (SEMP)

A Site Environmental Management Plan (SEMP) will be prepared to with the construction certificate application.

All works are to undertaken as outlined in the Charlotte Pass Village Environmental Values Report May 2008 by NGH Environmental.

Some general impacts are outlined below:-

- Minimal site clearing activity will occur to allow for the footings of the proposed extension. Soil & sedimentation controls will be implemented to restrict any impact of external building works.
- A minor encroachment will occur to the Restriction of Access 1.5 wide and variable as noted on the plans. This will not impact the access to the area behind the lodge for maintenance and fire fighting purposes. No flow paths will be blocked as a result of this development.
- Scaffolding will be erected at natural ground level during the construction phase in order to reach the proposed construction zone.
- Construction vehicles will park on Charlotte Way. No parking is allowed on vegetated areas.
- All construction materials will be stored within the existing lot boundaries.
- The proposed works will not impact existing vegetation within or surrounding the allotment except where indicated on the construction plans.

#### **DUST CONTROL**

Works involving dust dispersion will use water spray to help keep material damp and dust down. Covers will be placed over waste storage areas and piles of excavated materials to prevent dust dispersion. When transporting materials that cause dust they will be dampened and covered before moving.

#### LITTER CONTROL

Litter control around the site is the responsibility of all on site. A daily site clean up to reduce litter around the site and prevent any possible hazards it causes will be performed. It is the subcontractor's responsibility to leave the work area neat, clean and free of litter. Litter collected can be placed in bins or specified areas and disposed of at the local council tip.

#### **EMERGENCY PROCEDURES**

In case of an emergency, the following key emergency response contacts are:

Organisation	Emergency Phone	Non-Emergency Phone
NSW Police	000	Jindabyne: 02 6456 2244
NSW Fire and Rescue	000	Cooma: 02 6452 2037
NSW Ambulance	000	Cooma: 02 9320 7777
Cooma Hospital	02 6455 3222	
National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS)/OEH	1800 629 104	Snowy Region: 6450 5600 Jindabyne: 6450 5555
Roads and Maritime Services	Traffic incidents & road conditions: 131 700	
	Road closures and special events: 132 701	
EPA Environment Line	131 555	
NRMA Road Service	13 21 32	

ACCENT TOWN PLANNING PTY LTD ABN 63 637 759 758 | TOWN PLANNING | REPORTS | BUSHFIRE

# NOISE CONTROL

Noise on a construction site can become a form of pollution to the local environment through the use of plant, machinery and tools.

To reduce noise pollution from site the following procedures will be followed:

- All plant, machinery and tools will be maintained in good working order at all times;
- Work involving noisy tools or machinery to be used inside the building structure when possible;
- Strict hours of operation for each site will be implemented to reduce noise pollution to the surrounding areas
- In the instance of receiving a complaint in regards to noise levels immediate rectification will occur as far as practical.

## **FUELS & CHEMICALS**

No fuel or chemicals will be stored onsite during construction.

## CHEMICAL SPILL PREVENTION AND CONTAINMENT

The proposed development will not require the storage or use of any hazardous materials. However, if any petroleum products, trade waste, garbage and other noxious substances are required for the subject works, although unlikely to be required in any substantial amount, this will be appropriately stored off-site.

## INDIGENOUS HERITAGE

Should any material suspected of being an Aboriginal object become unearthed in the course of works associated with the proposed works, all work at that location shall cease immediately as per Section 90 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, and the Office of Environment and Heritage shall be contacted immediately to arrange for representatives to inspect the site.

#### WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste management is a crucial aspect to managing the impact of development in Kosciuszko National Park. Caution must be taken when disposing of and handling waste material on site, to prevent any loose debris. All waste generated from the proposed works, and the general construction waste and other waste associated with the repair and maintenace work will be disposed to an external waste facility.

#### NOISE AND VIBRATION POLLUTION

The intended hours of construction have not yet been determined. Hours for construction will be determined in order to allow for construction on suitable days during appropriate hours to prevent any negative impacts on the amenity of visitors and staff.

#### AIR POLLUTION

The construction of the proposed development is not expected to create any unnecessary air pollution.

#### TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

The overall principles for traffic management during demolition, excavation and construction phases of the development are:

- minimise effects on traffic movements and amenity;
- manage and control vehicular movements to and from the site;
- maintain traffic capacity at intersections;
- maintain existing on-street parking in the vicinity of the site where practical;
- maintain access to other properties adjacent to the site;
- maintain safety for workers;
- provide appropriate access to the site for, excavation and construction traffic; and

STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOR ARLBERG SKI CLUB LODGE 93 CHARLOTTE WAY CHARLOTTE PASS NSW

• manage and control vehicle activity in the vicinity of the site.

#### APPENDIX I AHIMS SEARCH RESULT 13/09/2023



Your Ref/PO Number : 21023DA Client Service ID : 819441

Date: 13 September 2023

Accent Town Planning 10 Kosciuszko Road Jindabyne New South Wales 2627 Attention: Tammy Stewart

Email: matt@completecertification.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Address : 93 CHARLOTTE WAY CHARLOTTE PASS 2624 with a Buffer of 50 meters, conducted by Tammy Stewart on 13 September 2023.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

0 Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.	
0 Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *	